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Transcript

Narrator Who you are defines how you build. 00:00:08,213 - Today, we are delighted to welcome Jannick Malling to ETL. 00:00:14,420 Jannick Malling is the Co-founder and co-CEO of Public.com an investing social network where members can own fractional shares of stocks and ETFs. They can follow popular creators, share ideas within the community of investors. Now, prior to Public, Malling was Co-founder and CEO of Tradable, a company that reinvented the online trading platform through a unified API platform that allow developers to build financial apps connected to a global network of brokerages. He was on the founding team and served as head of product at CFH Group, and he began his career at Saxo Bank, the original online trading service in Europe. He's got tons of experience in this space. Jannick has been named a global shaper by the World Economic Forum. And he was selected as a leader of tomorrow by the St. Galleon Symposium, the world's leading initiative for intergenerational debates on economic, political and social developments.

Jannick, what haven't you done? And welcome to ETL. - (laughs) Hey, thanks, Toby. 00:01:23,323 Yeah, it's really awesome to be here, honestly like a very much parts of this. - Well, you've got an extraordinary career and your story is just so epic and I know all the students are incredibly excited to hear your journey, so let's get right into it. So let's talk a little bit about career and building Public, but what's really interesting about your business is that's both a social media app and it's a brokerage service, and you've been able to combine that into really interesting user experience, but let's start on the brokered side of things. Now, I imagine one of the central challenges of building something like Public is the degree to which you need to think about regulation and not just customers and revenue, right? So can you talk a little bit about some of the bigger, kind of systemic hurdles you had to jump through as you built a Public Trading Service? - Yeah, absolutely. 00:02:17,640 So you're right. Public is a fully regulated broker dealer nationwide in the U.S. And I think as a result of that, consumers expectations may also be a little notion that a lot of people have preached. A lot of people have sort of been taught directly or indirectly for their, the content of move fast and break things.

But that's sort of been a Silicon valley mantra for many years. And I think more than anything, really what operating a highly regulated industry means is that you cannot just always follow those sort of standard startup rules if you will, because at the end of the day, you're dealing with people's money. It's a fairly sort of highly regulated industry. And I think in a different way than people might expect from something like an Uber or an Airbnb that also had some breakdowns challenges. And so what that ultimately means is everything can't always happen as quickly as you want it to be. There is another very important stakeholder and off the table, and most of the decisions that you make, and it's not the usual just, you have sort of your customers or your VCs, your employees, but there's also a regulator that I think especially these are becoming increasingly important for the industry overall. I think that being said and so that's probably the number one thing, right? I think the interesting thing about regulation is it does present a high barrier of entry. And I think that's why for many years that you didn't also see maybe a lot of startups up until sort of the rise of FinTech, maybe, call it half a decade to a decade ago, but finance was one of the last large industries to really sort of be disruptive for lack of a better word by various forms of technology startups. And I think as a result of that, consumers expectations may also be a little bit lower because most sort of, because technology hasn't been around to finance industry as deeply assets integrated into today for several decades. And so that's maybe the one of the few sort of positives that you're able to actually create.

It's a longer role, it's more regulated, but you can create some really magical experiences in around money once you sort
of push through that. - Yeah, that's an interesting point. 00:04:38,780 I spent some time both at Solar, Sadie and Tesla, and I know working with Ilan, especially on the solar side, massive regulation, you're dealing with utilities and huge amounts of friction. But being able to unlock that there's a tremendous amount of value for those that have the vision and the experience, and you've done an amazing job in that area. So I think as you, as a kind of starting off with that first question, but can you talk about like your background and what gave you both the interest and the courage to take on such a huge challenge of creating something as ambitious as public? Because there's nothing out there like it. - Yeah, I mean, arrogantly like you said, 00:05:17,210 I actually have been around this industry for a long time. I sort of, I would say I've been in FinTech maybe 10 years before it was really called FinTech. So personally I grew up in Copenhagen in Denmark and took a very early interest in design and sort of did some early web development as well. But I leaned more to the Photoshop at, I mean, God, this was like when Macromedia Flash was all the rage. - Yeah.

00:05:45,400 - So that was actually what I started designing in 00:05:47,070 that in Photoshop. But then early on in my career got into this company called Saxo Bank, which is a very well known in kind of Europe a little bit less so in the U.S. because they never operated that much here, but got to join there early. I think I was like employee number 300 or something. And that was a real rocket ship. Like we, I think after being there for, so I joined that when I was 17 years old. So it was a kind of interesting position. And I think they only took me in, because I knew how to code a little bit and could design and whatnot. And so I was able to carve out a nice, kind of interesting role for myself in that company, but it's, I think 12, 18 months or something, we were about 5,000 employees. And so that was a really interesting scaling experience.

That was also very global because it was around Europe and Asia for the most part. And that's really how I kinda came into the industry. So it was not this thing that I grew up in necessarily always wanting to get into it. I kind of stumbled into it a little bit, but not, but then after that, I think that's where maybe I caught the bug around with the financial system and just like, it's such a vast thing. And it's really one of the areas where you could truly be a lifelong learner, right? Because it's just such a massive system. And even now 15 years later, I'm still learning stuff every day, even around how magnets really work, how they should operate, et cetera. And so did that, then a bunch of us actually left and started another company that was actually in '07. So that was right before the financial crisis, we decided to start a financial technology company, which obviously was an interesting timing. And we actually ended up building something entirely different than what we set out to build. But in the wake of the financial crisis, we're able to build sort of a B2B marketplace business, facilitating liquidity over API between the big banks in London and smaller medium sized financial institutions around Europe in the middle east.

And so that was something where I really got a very deep understanding of how the markets actually kind of operated even among sort of the big banks and whatnot. And that was also where I sort of really started with the head of product role, obviously building out emo, I'll say being responsible for building out a much more sophisticated take platform in around the financial markets as ultimately the company was quite developed at '16. That's when I moved to the U.S. I was living in London at the time. And then a year, 18 months later, I kind of began to start what is now Public. - Yeah. 00:08:26,770 So two follow-up questions to that, one that's really blatantly obvious is that, you've had this unique ability to really see where problems are. Is that something that you developed over time? Was that something early in career and combining that with this intellectual curiosity about design, did you go to school? I know Stanford's got an amazing design and D school to take up Photoshop and get learning though. So those two aspects, like recognizing where real problems existed and then kind of design and prototyping where'd that come from? - Yeah. 00:08:59,860 I always say I got my design degree on youtube.com basically just, doing, honestly, in the beginning of since doing tutorials, I was really fascinated with a bunch of graphic design, which is how most designers work and this was pre mobile.

And so then, with Macromedia Flash as well. And then I think, I remember actually, I think it was in Spiegel who said this in a quote of like, you've sort of, I was too young to really catch the .com wave. I was probably 12, 14 years old when that happened. So I was a little bit too young, but that was actually around the time that I started doing some design and development for the web. And then after up to 2001 and the bust, I remember feeling like, oh, sort of, you kind of missed the window from a generational perspective, but then with the emergence of mobile, I remember just feeling incredibly fortunate because you could see early on that that was gonna be something different entirely. And what I think was interesting about mobile is that design suddenly had to become more human. And there was the potential to, I mean, the internet in those early days was still a lot of early adopters and whatnot right. And that's just, when you started to realize that everybody was gonna rock around with a computer in their pocket, you started to think a little bit differently about how you can solve problems, which I think in many ways, public is a direct manifestation of, because we always talked about the problem that we're solving, being much more psychological one than anything else. Like most people historically feeling like the stock market has not really been for them. That's sort of where the irony of the name public comes in, because it turns out that the stock market was actually designed for the public.

That's why they're called the public markets. And so, but when you can start to use technology to really solve even like psychological problems, I think that's very fascinating because I think the early technology boom, so I'd being a little bit more solving some business model issues and like, being able to disrupt a bunch of stuff around, how you distributed products, how you sort of make money on things, et cetera. And I feel, I really see Public, as a company that's solving a much more sort of human problem, which has much more to do with how people actually feel and the UX and the ad is obviously, and the community itself within Public is a massive topic. - Yeah, interesting. 00:11:23,310 Well, to that end, I know there's a lot of bias here in Silicon Valley from venture capital firms that, what the CEO or that other core co-founder to be highly, highly
technical. And I really find it fascinating that like, you're not hardcore engineer by study or training, you studied finance, you studied management, you studied economics and your career focused really on the product side of things rather than the engineering side. But for those students out there coming from a similar background, can you speak a little bit about the sorts of skills and advantages someone like yourself can bring to a tech startup that magic? - Yeah, again I think that this sort of 00:11:59,770 what you're referencing there was maybe also a little bit of a generational thing at a time where engineering talent, was really, really scarce. And so in order to actually build a startup from the ground up, you can't really take on a bunch of costs upfront. And so it becomes very handy when one of the two co-founders can actually just throw together the prototype. I think we now live at a time with the rise of like no code tools and even with things like, envision that frankly has been at product that you could use to put together an MVP as a designer and go out to the real world and test it with people and get a lot of the answers that you're kind of looking for at that stage.

And so I definitely think it's shifting, I will say. I do think that it's always incredibly handy to have a name for like understanding architecture. And so I'm not someone who obviously write codes. I never wrote a single on a code of this company, but I have always been involved in the architectural decisions because if you can sort of understand, like, those are the ones that might sort of either open up avenues for you down the line to quickly execute upon or vice versa, you can block yourself out of stuff because you're kind of moving in the wrong direction. And so basically the ability to synchronize your product roadmap and product vision with sort of the architecture of your assistance. I think it's actually a really important because that's ultimately what allows you to move fast and not break things. And that's something which is in our industry upstairs been pretty important. And that I think has been very paramount to any sort of success with that today. - Yeah, awesome. 00:13:39,053 Very fascinating. One of the things I'm curious to know, I know many, many easy house speakers talk about the massive pivots and the journey of their startup, but I'm curious to know from your original vision, is it exactly how you had envisioned it or did you take some twists and turns and pivots along the way? And could you speak a little bit about that initial idea to where you are today? - Yeah, I don't.

00:14:04,133 So there was a couple of things I think largely the problem that we wanted to solve remain the same, but the ways you go about solving, it tends to have different phases to it. Also you start to, it's always nice to dream big at the outset, but then you really got to sit down and map stuff out and then it's like, okay, you got to scope a lot of things out of the MVP and things of that nature. But the original pieces was always about creating, making the markets more approachable, not just making them more accessible. And those are two words that sound similar, but are actually wildly different. And so part of making the markets more approachable, had more to do with like breaking down that psychological barrier of people feeling that the markets were not for them. And that is a problem that had less to do with like commissions and fees and more to do with like, what is the experience in and around the market? So a lot of people historically have been intimidated by it. We did a lot of user research in front where a lot of people are like, you know, yeah stock market is not really for me right now. I think when am I late forties, I might start. And like that's in the markets, which is all about compounding at the end of the day, that's sort of exactly the problem. And so we kind of realized that there was this misconception about what the stock markets really was.

I think also stemming from the fact that the culture around that has always been sort of a very defined culture. You think about stock trading, your mind goes to Wolf of Wall Street means, it's a very sort of white male dominated activity. It's a little bit, the communities that have always existed around, they've always been very short term focused. And I think we wanted to create something very different from that, which was more about real investing culture and actually making people a solid long-term investors and truly building their financial literacy as well as growing their portfolios over time. And I do remember though that we actually started with that thesis. And so that's how the whole social pieces came into play of like, how can you build a community that it educates itself? And I think in some of the beta versions, we had the social features, but we didn't have the ability to own fractional share shifts. And that was like a big unlock for us. I remember where, I think we saw a lot that people really liked the promise of what we had built with the social features and whatnot, but they weren't that useful for them because you were looking at people that maybe owned Amazon Stock and you're sitting yourself there and like couldn't even afford a single share of Amazon because it was trading at 1500 bucks per share at the time. And so I remember we sorta had to make the tough decision to do like go way back and be like, okay, we're not just going to actually put this out. We're solving half the problem now, but we've got to do some really deep innovative work in and around how you actually transact and stocks to solve that.

And at this time, fractional shares was not a thing that really existed as sort of, you couldn't go into a brokerage and just buy real-time fractional shares. And so we kind of have to invent that up from the ground up. And I think that took another, six or nine months or something. And that required a lot of first principles thinking and a lot of sort of really rethinking how things are done. But I think where we ended up was excited with the sense of like, once you put those two concepts together, it became the great on lock and the whole sort of flywheel within the ad and whatnot started really kicking into gear in a very different way. - Yeah, that's interesting. 00:17:21,710 Well, and to that end, we're talking about customers and feedback and consumer behavior and being able to get insights into that, but I know that you recently welcomed your 1 million with users. So congratulations on that. But so now you have a lot of consumer behavior to analyze. So can you talk a little bit about your philosophy and strategy in terms of like how you observe customer behavior and allowing that to impact your product roadmap? I guess that with most product roadmaps, you have requests for way more features than you have engineers and how to prioritize that.
And are there any particular clear examples of customer behaviors that are driving product innovation at public and how does that process work there? - Yeah, definitely. 00:18:00.067 I think there’s a general thing. And honestly, this has come in very handy during quarantine of when you’re building community led products, where the community is sort of at the center and actually a massive, if not the biggest part of the value proposition that you’re offering. There’s a lot of challenges with that, I will say, we always say internally, like everyone can build social features, but it’s freaking hard to build community. It’s two very different things, but when you are building community products, there are some advantages, right? So for instance, that, you don’t just see the data kind of quantitatively, but immediately when you put new, new things out into the app, even sometimes the smallest updates right. People pick up on them and you can see them conversing around them within the community. And you sort of see how they’re adopting them in a very different way, because everything is kind of very transparent then you and the user see how other people are adopting those as well. And so that adds a very interesting layer, I think, to sort of the product feedback loop that single player apps or kind of like non-community led products really don’t have. And I think it’s often the emotional kind of drive us that helped you understand really how people feel about your product and how they use your product. And I think that, especially in the finance world, historically, this has been a way to sort of up studied for most of my life.

Like there’s always been the tendency to like operate under the assumption that everybody is completely rational and that’s just not true. In fact, the opposite is true that most people are just human beings with that are very emotionally kind of driven. And so I think once you realize that and accept that, then you can start to really use that as a strength in sort of building community, which then leads to sort of this super interesting kind of product feedback flow where, you get a lot of it from the users directly as you wouldn’t ended up company, but you see how people are talking amongst each other, within the community around kind of new features that you put out. I think that’s been very handy at a time like pointing where you can go down to the coffee shop and do over the shoulder kind of look, it’s kind of like the digital way of doing over the over the shoulder, kind of look testing and whatnot. And obviously that’s been something that many startups in the past, now 15 months have been unable to do. - Yeah, very cool. 00:20:17.560 So let’s shift gears a little bit at SPVP were incredibly passionate about exploring how entrepreneurs can build values and ethics and principles into their ventures. It’s a super hot topic. It’s brought up every quarter during my classes, but at public you’ve created a list of 10 principles to guide your team. Can you give us a few examples of your company principles and talk about why and how you created this list of principles and the value that it’s creating for your employees and your stakeholders and ultimately your brand? - Yeah, definitely.

00:20:50.260 I mean, we are me and my provider, we’re huge believers in principles. I think we see them a little bit differently than others maybe in that we actually see them more as tools than values. Then I think it’s easy to dismiss these things as sort of like motivational posters that hanging around at the office, but we’ve really approached them as tools. And so we’ve created this system called public principles, which is really a, you can kind of see it as a little bit like rules of engagement of like how the company wants people to act. And I think what’s very interesting is that, I mean, I think we actually, this is one of the first thing we did when south to build the company. We were like, Hey, I think both being sort of second time founders here, we sort of had been through some things self like just, I think for the first time we just jumped both into product, the two of us. And then only later for the journey you realize building a product is one 10th of building a company and many other things that kind of go into it. And so we really wanted to get it right from the outset this time. And so really it was just this notion of, Hey, building a company. So at the end of the day, it’s the product of making millions of decisions.

The more consistency you can have between those decisions, I think will correlate to how successful you are. And so really, but you don’t want to make all the decisions yourself, it does nothing like you cannot make millions of decisions over even a decade, right. It’s just not gonna happen. So the principles were designed to give people sort of a framework for how to make those decisions and how to operate within the company. And so an example, principle one is honesty kills bullshit, sorry for my French, but that’s, and like that’s a principle that really comes up every day. And it’s been something that when you’re growing a company really quickly, I mean, to give you an idea, I think we were like 20 people when lockdown hit. Now we’re over a hundred. So we’ve definitely had some interesting scaling challenges as well. But I think by and large, the principles that have actually helped us get through that in many ways. And so when you were sort of meeting someone that you never met before, like being really honest with people can be kind of difficult.

Like it’s not necessarily something that comes easy to most people and to really these principles serve to give people the tools to just be honest, right? So the way people will say things like, Hey, quick principal one, I think X, Y, and Z. And in that moment, it’s a little bit like you know that I’m not trying to be a jerk right now. I’m actually not trying to sort of like, I’m just trying to act in the way the company wants me to act, which it’s moment means just being honest about something. A lot of the principles go hand in hand principle two is feedback comes from a place of care. So I think, you know, those two principles for instance, is an interesting duo and a very powerful one. I would add that also just serve to keep in mind what feedback actually is because a lot of people think it did, the definition is suggestions for improvement, but a lot of people just take a abate translation to that and use it as an excuse to kind of say anything I think. And so as you go down the list, like there are more and more principles that really are tools that you can drop in conversation on slack and meetings, et cetera, that sort of helps you helps all the people on the receiving end as well, really understand where you’re coming from when you’re saying those things. And it’s a living document. It’s third version already. And so we actually have a quarterly review of these things and try to sort of keep it up to speed as the company grows and evolves.
- Yeah, it sounds like you guys 00:24:27,570 are gonna live by those follow up question to that. I've seen a lot of companies that have two sets of principles, one set of principles for 90% of the company and another set of principles for the rockstars. Have you had a situation where you've had, an a player that violated a principle and you'd have to let that person go? - We have not, but I think to your point, 00:24:51,090 the reason people end up that because I've seen it as well. And I think the reason people end up there is because they have this idea that the principals have to be timeless and set in stone. And once you accept that, that's just not the case and that you can actually version them and update them. Then they become much, then they sort of become more universally applicable for the ATAR company at any point in time. And they basically just scale better with the company. And so I think that's been a reason why that has been one reason why that hasn't happened. We take it very seriously. I literally unemployed first day we sit down both my co-CEO and I, and we spend an hour just running through every principals, talking through them, anecdotally, how we think about them, why we put them in there, et cetera.

And also think that ensures that people approach them from the outset in a consistent manner. - Well, I think you've done a great job. 00:25:47,210 So let's, so we take the principles and let's pivot to like another really important businesses that you make. As lots of times an economic model will drive either a lack of transparency or bad behavior at the end of the day. So we had Robin hood co-founder CEO, Flat ETL back here in February, and right after the game stop situation blew up. And at that time, or rather very obscure mechanism called payment for order flow suddenly jumped into national headlines. But around that time, you released a statement saying that public was ending payment for order flow. So that was a big decision on your part. And obviously there's probably a significant amount of revenue implications to that, but can you explain that decision like of these company principles we just talked about? - Yeah, definitely. 00:26:37,270 So to maybe give a quick primer payment for order flow or pitfall for short is a practice by which brokerages get compensated from routing customers orders be filled by third-party market makers instead of at an exchange, which is, I think most people, when they think about stock market, they would just assume that your order goes to the exchange, the stock exchange.

And in the vast majority of the retail investing world, that's actually not the case. Now then in February, to your point, we decided to actually end payment for order flow and remove the sort of receiving payment for order flow from a greater, it's from our business model. And then we rolled out a feature called tipping, which is and sort of an optional way that people can leave a tip on a tray to public that offsets the cost of clearing instead. I think at the end of the day. So first of all, the reason we made the decision had more to do with what kind of company that we really want to build. Oftentimes companies over time become very beholden to their business model more than anything of the time, especially as they sort of get closer to actually IPO in themselves, et cetera. And we were kind of looking at this, like if you're relying on payment for order flow to be your main kind of revenue stream, we think you're building something that might have misaligned incentives with your customers. At least the way we think about building this kind of company, we really, we don't just care about access. We actually care about making people better investors. We really want to ensure that they grow, their portfolios as well as their financial literacy and I think payment falafal it didn't really sit well, with that kind of model, because at the end of the day, you will make more, if you use this trademark and just to have users, trademark is not always correlated.

And quite often the opposite, in fact, with actually growing people's portfolios and their accounts, because most people that they trade historically, and it was money. It also goes to things like we don't offer products like margin trading and options trading. And those are sort of incredibly decorative if you have payment for order flow as a business model. And so I think for us, it just came down to looking at the incentives between us and our customers, and we want it to be entirely beholden to our customers, not to a third party market makers. And that ultimately is what drove that decision. - Well, I applaud that effort because I know also 00:29:02,440 been a former CEO, the pressure you get from your board and driving growth of the company to make a decision like that takes a lot of courage and will, and I think, really, really speaks to you as being a principal leader in your team. And I just really applaud the courage that you guys 'cause that's a big step. - It was, I will say though, 00:29:23,320 that it all depends on how you look at it, because I think, we look at this company as what kind of revenue profile that we want to build, right? We would like to build something where we have a little bit more diversification of revenue rather than being relied on. Like I said, of order trading, margin trading, and ultimately just have that also to hit this one revenue lever. And then I think we were early enough in our lifetime that we weren't built into it in the same way as, as many other folks are.

And so I think for us, it truly was the sort of entire gram over living between, do we believe it's the right thing to do? Yes, it works better with our mission and our roadmap and everything that we're trying to accomplish, but we actually think longterm, it's gonna be a better business decision for us as well. If you sort of AB test the two Pella realities of us, just continuing with Pverfu versus what we're going to do now, like we truly believe that's gonna add you to a much stronger business overall. And so it's at the end of the day, like with the markets, by the way, quite often, all a matter of your perspective, as far as time, how you're looking things a little bit more short term, medium term, or just like very longterm. And I think this was just a decision where we are in a position to think really long-term about this stuff, whereas obviously incumbents and many others are necessarily not in that position. - Well, I also we're not gonna dive into that in this talk, 00:30:55,650 but that does speak a lot to how thoughtful you were and looking at your cap table and especially on your board of directors. 'Cause I've been on a lot of boards and quite the opposite is true where they just want revenue and growth and I don't have that long-term view. So everything from the service that you built and the revenue that you generate and then have that kind of long-term view just as a lot of you, you picked your investors really wisely and folks that are in there for the long haul. So I really commend you on that, now because you were social media company, as well as the brokerage firm. I'm sure you guys spend a lot of time thinking about content moderation. We see that in space, especially with the last, political cycle.
here in the United States, but at a high level, like how do you approach enforcing or encouraging standards of behavior that work for your community and in a way that you envision it.

Yeah so before I sort of get into some of the, some of the tactical stuff, I think the, one of the most interesting things that we’ve done with public, I think is by way of matching at brokerage, where when you run a brokerage, you have an appropriate account, we actually need to verify your identity, right? That’s like standard KYC, know your customer. And so by matching that with a social platform, we actually have arrived at what might not be the most verified social network in history of social networks in that every single member is actually verified. So in order to participate in the community, we need to have verified your identity. And that’s been such an interesting social experiment in and of itself, Toby because I think it’s like historically the internet has always like been mostly centered around enmity right in the early days now later with the crypto movement and whatnot, and sort of made a 180 on that and been like, well, we’re gonna operate the social network, but nobody’s anonymous. And so therefore there are no bots, there are no sort of like, everyone is a real person. And that just means that the behavior on the app is incredible. And within the commute, it’s very, very different than what you sort of see from other social networks historically. And I’ve had on that. I’ve had the privilege to talk to some of the leaders of those kind of big social companies. And I think they’ve been also pretty fascinated with it because it’s something that, they’re not in a position to do, obviously, right? Historically it’s only people with the blue check mark that that has their identity be verified.

And those tend to not really cost the issue when you’re talking about content moderation on those platforms. And so just by having that mechanism, we’ve dramatically lifted the bar as far as the quality of the community, which has been also very important just because it’s very like when you’re having your community on finance and investing, it really is a quality of a quantity, any game, as far as the user-generated content that exists on the app. And like, we always say that the community is really our way to sort of educate people at scale because it’s a community that educates itself. And so rather than us, trying to run a publishing house and running education company on top of that, we think it’s much more powerful if you have a diverse community to also have diversity of thought within the things that you kind of like how people approach investing, et cetera. And so I think at the most foundational level that’s been super fascinating to see because it just raises the baseline quality of the conversations and the stability in the community. It makes it much more humane. We even talked about the GameStop incident, right? Like there was a lot of people coming in from a certain forum on Reddit that were where everybody actually are anonymous, but when those users kind of trickle into public, they we’ve seen them. They’re much conforming their behavior to the culture that already exists in the community. And that’s a very kind of human thing. I know that Steve, the Reddit Founder talks about this as well, but like, you will act differently at a dinner with your in-laws than you do with sort of when you’re hanging out with your friends.

And I think the same is sort of true for communities. You sort of tend to pick up on the vibe in that community and then conform your behavior to that to a little bit. And so when we see, especially in those days where a lot of, most of best people came in on Reddit, they might have a cartoon for a profile picture, a username with a bunch of swear words in there. On public, they have a real profile picture with their dog or their significant other, right? They have a real name and the bio might have some diamond and rocket emoji but it’s still presenting a much more human side of themselves, which again, just like adds to the culture of the community and reinforces what it’s really about. So in addition to that, and we obviously have a bunch of tactical things, right? There’s actually a lot of interesting things that you can do, like many wasting scale content moderation, especially when you’re making these sort of within the written format. You don’t have that much, like live content happening. Live content is credit tough to kind of scale content motivation fault. But for a lot of the written stuff, you can sort of set up bots that crawl stuff. We have a lot of things that sort of run things from models in real time and alert our community members and things of that nature where there are things that they might want to kind of look into. And so long story short, there’s actually a lot of ways that you can scale that mostly with code as well now, and not just with actually people, but obviously we also do have a community and a customer service team that is relatively to our size, a relatively large group in the company.

- Very cool. 00:36:35,930 Well, we’ve got a bunch of questions killing up from our students that are anxious to jump into the fray here. So let me start with our first one. And the question is, what do you say to people who blame retail investors or the behavior of micro investors for the volatility of the public market? I know you touched a little bit upon that, but what’s your overall take on that? - Oh man, that’s an interesting question. 00:36:58,350 I’ll try to give a short answer. We can talk about that for hours, frankly. So, I think if I’m accurate investors, you’re referring to people that invest with fractional shares, they tend to be a smaller part of the market, obviously because you’re not actually even buying full shares. A lot of the volatility from the retail side of it tends to actually come from margin trading, which has the ability to basically take a loan to sort of boost your traits. And so again, the latch of the traits amounts automotive adds to the volatility in the market. Then there’s options trading that even more so actually adds to the volatility of the market.

And again, both of those practices are not something that we’ve engaged in also for the reasons that like we don’t, volatility by definition equals risk, right? And so we think that a lot of the deliberation, a lot of those tools have definitely put the magazines to a place where they are much more volatile. I don’t think it’s the only factor playing in if I’m being honest, but it is an accelerant to some degree, but I think the micro investors have maybe less to do with that than actually some of the retail investors that do have modules that do have options trading. And I think that the sort of fractional share investors at relatively much less in comparison to the volatility. - Okay, very cool. 00:38:21,630 Let’s see, I noticed that public has co-CEOs. And can you talk about how that arrangement came about and why it works for the two of you? Cause that’s not
atypical. - Sure, yeah, definitely. 00:38:32,763 So, I mean, the funny thing is, again, we took it very first principles approach
to the stuffings of like, historically we get that there’s like one CEO and that’s how most kind of companies work, especially the
state side. I think in Europe, you’ll see in a little bit more of the co-CEO thing historically play out. But at the end of the day,
we are operating, like I said, in a space where a lot of the decisions like can just be not checking things with the regulators or
even with our internal compliance teams, et cetera.

And so if you think like we turned it around, like what is actually the benefit of having once a year? But the benefit tends to
be that like, okay, if you want to have a more dictator style kind of leadership culture within your company, then you can
move really fast, et cetera. That’s never anything that we’ve really strived to actually do because we, you just can’t really have
that. I can’t like go in and completely just override my chief compliance officer. That’s not how this industry kind of works.
And so a lot of it is really just dialogue. And then when you look at what the benefit of being co-CEOs and that structure
actually means, it means a lot of different things. So for instance, where a lot of companies sort of that scale very quickly and
end up struggling is when they have to hire the first kind of C levels. I think we’ve brought on a COO and a CFO recently, but
we have not brought on a chief growth officer or a CMO because that’s sort of what life my co-CEO focusing us on with no
progress, because that’s what I kind of focus on. And so I think you’re able to scale the company in a little bit of a different
way versus sort of you get to that point where your waste, you have your product, microphage erase that round and then
boom, you’re going to have five C levels in the span of six months. That’s really tough.

Culturally, everybody’s coming with their own kind of leadership styles. That’s like that that’s a really different problem to
navigate. I think we’ve been able to at least cut that problem in half and basically phase it out a little bit more. There might be
a day where I ended up hiring a chief product officer to sort of replace myself for the role that I played within product. But
I’m able to put that off a little bit more, which then allows me to focus my energy and ensuring that our CFO and a COO are
probably not just onboarded, but probably integrated into the company as well. And so I think there are actually some distinct
advantages also being able to share that CEO responsibility. It’s a massive one, right? Let’s be honest. And so I think it often
puts CEOs at the place where they’re like, oh, all I have time for is fly around. Maybe not in the last 15 months, but like
historically CEO is like, they fly around for conferences and speaking gigs. And you know, they do basically just fundraising
and press and whatnot.

And that’s all you do. You quickly become a little bit distant from the company and then actually you put yourself, it might
adversely affect yourself as far as your ability to sort of have temperature on your customers and your company and your core
people in the business, et cetera. And so again, I think sort of sharing the CEO workload helps us stay more connected to
what’s actually going on in the company, which I think at this point in the company’s life is incredibly beneficial overall. - Well
essentially you say that 00:41:41,110 and I think what ends up happening, you just happen to make it formal. But I know with
my company, when I started in ‘95, me and my co-founder, although he had the CEO and chairman of the board title, I, the
present chief operating officer title, we literally were co-CEOs and we met regularly to strip everything you talked about. We
operated that way. I think a lot of early stage companies operate the same way, although they may not have that title, normal
culture, like you’ve talked. - I think it also helps, like when we come out me and Leif, 00:42:13,380 my co CEO, when we come
out, we make a decision implicitly, everyone in the company knows that we’ve talked about this. This is very thought-through,
this is not a rushed thing. And this is something that we both agree on.

And again, that is a dynamic that you can’t necessarily have as a sole CEO, if you will. - No, I think that’s super, super
smart. 00:42:34,193 Let’s see, another question has come in beyond your work at public, you’re also an active angel investor.
Can you talk about the sorts of early stage ventures that you get really excited about? - Yeah, definitely. 00:42:50,900 So, I
mean, I think actually there’s a lot of different kind of, sort of takes on this. And I think angel investing is really helpful
because it helps you just understand how the world works a lot better if you’re a founder and all you ever do is kind of raise
capital. It really is very, very good to be on the other side of the table for once and actually really understand like you put
yourself quite literally in the shoes of an investor. And I think we’re living in a time where a lot more people are angel
investing, right with the bias of things like SPVs and AngelList and other kind of things. A lot more people are able to
participate in sort of investing in the private markets. The things I look for are have a vibe sort of degree of variety.

Obviously there are many things around FinTech that kind of come my way, but I do focus a lot of all the things. Well, I
used to be a gamer. So I think the gaming industry generally is quite interesting. I think these spots interest is quite an
extreme and I’m probably also just a sucker for anything that’s really great product design, if I’m the honest and that call it
make an occupational hazard. And then I’m also quite passionate about like, as cheesy as it might sound, but like things that
do help progress the world and make it a little bit of a better place. So there are some things around climate change, I think
are interesting, especially being in Europe, there’s a bunch of stuff around that. And I think, yeah, so it’s a very wide degree
of things. And at the end of the day, like most people, you look at the people, especially at the angel stage, because there’s not
many other data points to really consider, like maybe there’s a product, right to my point, but that’s really your main data
point at that early stage of investing. - Yeah, you sound like a couple other great rock stars 00:44:37,170 most recently had
Howie Liu from Airtable on and he’s fanatical about product design and look and feel, I spent some time working with Ilan,
maniacal about look and feel and product design. So I think for all those want to be entrepreneurs out there spending the
time I get a product right.

This is a lot of hard work and that I think you’re right. I think that’s one of the most important things. So look forward to
you’re evaluating new opportunities. - A hundred percent, I actually think, 00:45:03,960 and maybe this is a little bit contrary to what most people talk about blood. A lot of people say that like, oh, the signs now been democratized. You can hire designers everywhere, et cetera, that there may be some truth to that obviously. But most products that I like open on my phone or on the web are still not magical products. And so there is still a massive advantage, like in really putting the time and energy and work into building something truly magical now to do that, you really need to go the extra mile truly, right? Like not just the extra 20%, but you really need to sometimes obsess about things that you’re like, wait, but Reid Hoffman one set that like, if you’re not embarrassed by your first version, you’re too late. And like, I think that was a quote for a different time. If I’m being honest, I think now you really, you will never regret, putting time into truly obsessing over the first mile of your product, like which Scott Belsky talks a lot about, but also just like the general kind of flywheels within the product, how the product really works, how it sort of how it delivers kind of this like magical value.

And I think that that’s really the, that’s the sort of, that’s the first thing you think about when you’re coming to something new and it’s the ultimate kind of test as well. And so it kind of starts and ends with that. - I think you’re right, because you only, 00:46:26,530 that market entry, that first glimpse that lasts forever. And you only have one shot to do that and you create a massive impression no matter what. And I think the term, I don’t like the word MVP, minimum viable product. I like the term MAP, minimum awesome product. So I think there has been a significant shift over the last several years around that concept. - That’s interesting, I’ll remember that. 00:46:48,233 I think internally we actually call it, we have various degrees of that. So like MVP is not only something that we demo internally for the team.

We never obviously put those out. Ours is like minimum. I think it’s marketable kind of product, right? It’s like, Hey, what do we with our brand that we care a lot about with our ethos? What do we feel good about putting them out in the markets out of hands of our customers? And that bar is obviously way higher than just rolling out MVP. - Yeah, it is. 00:47:15,580 So it time for maybe one or two more questions. This next one is kind of similar to the first question. You've got some folks kind of pushing on, all sides of your business and your brand. And the question is, do you worry that building beautiful and easy investing apps can, and in of itself, serve to mask the seriousness of investing where the social features of Public.com serve to heighten the irrational exuberance and madness of crowds. So famously associated with markets. - Yeah, I mean, it’s a good question.

00:47:46,240 I think it’s something that we’ve, so that is the thing that we obsessed about in the beginning, right? It’s like, how do we, I think all the communities that have ever existed around this stuff have probably been in that camp of like playing more off of like FOMO and like getting people to kind of make irrational decisions. And I think the hottest thing that we did is really focusing on building a community where that’s not the case. Now, the way we did that though, was also, like, we did not go out to all the stock trading communities. And like, like again, conventional knowledge tells you, like, find your cheapest, lowest hanging fruit users that care most about this. We did the opposite of that. We went to female leadership communities. We went to creative communities that never heard about like sort of everybody knows the stock market, but like they had no idea how everything would that kind of worked. And that was a big gamble, frankly, because it could have been like, oh, they just don’t care about this, but the opposite happened. And then I think that really helped create this culture within the community, which is much more about building your financial literacy. It's much more about, so to like 90% of people in public actually make their first investment on public.

And so it’s something where you’re really just, you’re on a journey with people that in many places are a little bit in the same place as you, obviously we have a number of creators on the app that tend to be much more kind of knowledgeable, and really more experts within certain kinds of companies or sectors or themes or whatever. But there's a lot of power in building community with people are have a shared kind of interest in something. And we always say, we want the community to be collaborative, not competitive. That's kind of one of our core principles there. And so at every turn, I think we've just optimized for how do we not make this sort of like, we actually don't talk about ourselves as a trading app, even we’d see us as much more as an investing thing, because it's not about speculation. I think the term MAP, minimum awesome product, right? It’s like, Hey, what do we care about this, but the opposite happened. And then I think that really helped create this culture within the community, which is much more about building your financial literacy. It's much more about, so to like 90% of people in public actually make their first investment on public.