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Transcript

Narrator Who you are defines how you build. 00:00:06,220 (upbeat digitized music) - Welcome YouTube and Stanford communities 00:00:10,110 to the final session of the Entrepreneurial Thought Leader Seminar in our academic year. It is terrific to have you. I am Ravi Belani, a lecturer in the management science and engineering department at Stanford and the Director of Alchemist and accelerator for enterprise startups. And I'd like to welcome you to the Entrepreneurial Thought Leader Seminar, which is presented by STVP, the entrepreneurship center in Stanford's School of Engineering and BASES, the Business Association of Stanford Entrepreneurial Students. Today, we are honored and thrilled to welcome Josh Makower to ETL, to close out the academic year. Josh is a founder and executive chairman of Exploramed, which is a medical device incubator that has created 10 companies over the past 20 years. Josh holds over 300 patents and patent applications for various medical devices. And Josh's academic training began with a bachelor's degree in engineering and mechanical engineering at MIT. Josh went on to get an MD from NYU, but rather than practicing clinical medicine, he focused on the intersections of healthcare and business, getting an MBA from Columbia Business School, spending six years at Pfizer in strategic innovation and founding over nine ventures prior to the roles that we've already discussed.

So without further ado, please welcome Josh to ETL, and Josh is gonna be giving us a talk, so I will not be interrupting with my poor internet connectivity, on Biodesign innovation and also Biodesign policy. And then we’ll open it up for Q and A. Josh, can I turn it over to you? - Absolutely. 00:01:40,050 Thank you so much. And thanks for the opportunity to talk about this. This is basically my life's work, so I'm passionate about it and it's a real pleasure, which is health technology innovation. So and it's, you know, although this looks like a hodgepodge of activities with all the organizations that I've been attached to over the years, and then the companies that I've founded, the middle layer, and then the other things that I've done on the bottom, there's actually one central theme that ties all of this together. And I'm gonna try to tie it together for you because one of my real passions is to inspire others to follow a similar path and make an impact on the world. And it all sorta starts here, which is what medical innovation looked like or what we thought it looked like when I was coming up, sitting in your seats, and learning about medicine and innovations that were created. And most of these were created with a chance discovery, just like a phenomenalistic kinda moment where we noticed that the Petri dish looks different or someone's hand showed up on a film that was near an x-ray.

And, you know, this is sort of like the history of medical innovation. It was very episodic and empirical and certainly
null
create these. And so, you know, in these, as I’ve mentioned before, there’s more than these companies, but these are just good representative examples.

I’m gonna be fairly brief about it. But the, you know, this is Acclarent. This was the first company to produce a technology called balloon sinuplasty. And it was rooted in this need for treatment for chronic sinusitis. And at the time that I got interested in this, I actually was a chronic sinusitis sufferer. And I had been to many ENTs and they had told me, you know, here’s another drug, here’s another antibiotic, et cetera, and I got very frustrated with this because I was like, can’t you just fix it? You know, what is going on here? And they said, well, you’re not bad enough for surgery. And I never really knew what that meant until I finally had time to actually go in and watch the surgery, and it was a bloody mess. Even the minimally invasive surgery, it’s very bloody. And so I, but what they’re trying to do is open up the passageway. That’s what they’re trying to do.

But the tools that they were using were traditional surgical tools. They were cutting tissue and bone away. And so by observing this and realizing what the goal is, which is to create drainage, that’s the real goal, but you wanna create the drainage without destroying the very important mucosa, the lining of the sinuses, that that is the need. The need is to create drainage without disrupting the mucosa, which is the surface on top of the bones. Don’t take the bones out, leave the bones in place, open the passageway. And so brainstorming, et cetera, come up with this idea. Why don’t we take a page from the technologies of bone angioplasty and just dilate the bones? Can the bones be moved? Answer was yes. Very successful company, and now is J and J’s ENT division. Similar type of situation for Neotract, benign prosthetic hypertrophy.

And it results in the enlargement of this gland. So when you get older, that gland that sits between your bladder and your urethra, as a male enlarges, which compresses the urethra, and you get all these symptoms like you can’t get through a golf game, or you can’t make it through a movie without going to the bathroom a couple of times. So, and there are lots of treatments for this. Some of them are awful. Once again, bloody, nerve damage, all sorts of things like that. So that is, you know, traumatic. Observing this need, you think, well, why don’t they come up with something better? There are lots of drugs. The drugs didn’t work that well. And the mechanical therapies all involved destroying the prostate. Which of course, as soon as you do that, you cause nerve damage, you cause muscular damage, you cause all these other side effects, bleeding, et cetera, it’s bad.

So again, using the process say, okay, what’s the need spec. People wanna have a procedure that they can see immediate results and they can basically pee right away and it’s good. It lasts, you know, forever or long period of time, minimal trauma and no damage to the nerves, no impotence, no incontinence, et cetera. Fast forward invention to the spec process. This idea Neotract UroLift, a way of sort of just, you know, pulling the opening, the urethra, not destroying the prostate at all, just moving it out of the way, just pinch it and moving it out the way, creating the opening. Less trauma, less bleeding, fast, done in the office and taking off and will probably be the leading treatment for mechanical therapy for BPH. I’m not gonna go through these others, but just say each of these companies, Moximed for osteoarthritis, Willow first wearable breast pump for women that allows them to pump anywhere, all were created with the exact same methodology. And even this one, the latest, my latest company that we just got commercial this year, it’s a treatment for cellulite, a common condition that affects 85% of women, exact same thing. Just focusing on what the need is. That need unlocks, when you just the need first and don’t tie itself to a technology.

Don’t go say, where can I apply a laser or where can I apply, you know, a balloon. Find out what the need, what the patient needs, what the physician needs, what the payer needs, what et cetera first, and then invent from that. Then you can create these opportunities to really change healthcare that solve all these problems. And so, you know, we’ve been very successful.

And so we teamed up and created Biodesign back in 2001. And the idea was innovation is a discipline process that you can teach, you can learn it, you can practice it and you can get really good at it. And if you just keep on focusing on adhering to the process, trusting the process of this need finding to need statement, to need specification, to onwards onto invention and then screening, et cetera, that’s gonna lead you on your path. And that’s exactly what it is. Like we call it the Biodesign process now, and this is it exactly what I’ve been talking about. Very rigorous, looks simple. It’s a lot harder than you think, but anyone can do it. Anyone can do it. And that’s really the power of it is that, and we and then I’ll show you that we’ve proven that that’s true. We can teach this and if you dedicate yourself to the process, you can learn it.

And truthfully that need is the DNA. The need is, if you get the need right, if you characterize it right and you only accept a
solution that meets it, then you will be successful. And w've really been training innovators for the last 20 years in this methodology. We have a few graduate classes here. We're training faculty as well. We have fellows, we have graduate students. Anyone from the business school who's listening there's a great innovation course, which is a foundational course on this and many companies and innovators have come outta that. And so it's quite a vast program now. 'Cause we also do grants. I mean, we have some translational partnerships.

We teach executives. It's a big deal. A lot going on here at Biodesign over the last 20 years and it just continues to grow. And the reason why it grow is, is because, you know, A, we first of all have this type of reach. These are the folks that we touch here just at Stanford. But, you know, as you mentioned, as you see those global faculty, those global faculty are here training to set up Biodesign organizations across the world and they go off and do this. And these executives we're training, they're installing Biodesign as a core process inside of their organizations to identify the next generation product and stuff like that. So just our students alone, just our fellows alone, have created about 65 different entities, some public companies here, some significant acquisitions, some failures too. Comes with the process, comes with the territory, but really exciting. And what's most exciting is today our latest data is that we have touched the, just the students' projects, not mine, not Paul's, just the students, our fellows have touched 7.6 million lives with the technologies that they have produced through the companies that they invented here at Stanford while they were here.

We're not even counting the things that they invented after, or the companies that they started after. This is just stuff that was created here, which is pretty incredible for a purely educational program. And so the economic impacts of Biodesign has really, have really been amazing and I'm really proud of it. And I'm honored to be here, you know, stepping into policies as a director now, after, you know, 20 years of working on this together, it's really exciting. And of course, as I mentioned, the global impact is significant as well. And we have different ways that we reach the globe, not only through our trainees who come here and get trained or organizations that we've partnered and affiliate with, but we have a textbook that is really sort of the, has everything in it, case studies, it's a very thick textbook. Something to read at night if you have trouble sleeping. Or a much more easily accessible online student guide that was created by our amazing Linden End and is just a beautiful, very accessible, you know, a very modern resource that you can use and it's available across the world and has been accessed across the world. So here we are a new beginning. 2001, I was asked to come back and lead the program.

And I was very fortunate to have this opportunity and really Biodesign's fortunate to have had the support of the individuals who you see here, president of the University, dean of the engineering and medical schools, Brook Byers who's given us a fantastic gift to allow us to survive and very appreciative to Brook's insight and guidance. And of course, Paul who's my friend, co-founder and still working with me today here at Biodesign. And as I come in as the new director, you know, these are the things that I find. First of all, while we have accomplished amazing things and come so far, as I look into the future, even with the amazing gifts that we've received so far, we are not sustainable yet. So we need to figure out a way to figure out how to put ourselves into a better position so that Biodesign can be available to the future and we haven't done that yet. So that's one of my goals is to try to bring people forward who can help be our sponsors and help us create the future of innovation for our children's children. And I wanna see that happen, so that's important to me. So that's gonna be a top goal for me. The other one is to create the capacity for growth, 'cause we're growing. There's more things to do.

The world is even more complex and there's even more clinical needs that we need to solve and really installing the scalability and capacity of the team to do that. And so I've been working on that, we're making progress, and of course funding is gonna be a part of that as well. And then the other reality is, of course, the landscape is changing around us. There's a lot changing. How do we evolve Biodesign to meet the challenges of innovators of the future? Like what is next for Biodesign? Have we peaked? Is this it? Or is there a future that can be useful to make Biodesign useful for these next generation of innovators that are to come. And so first part of that is making sure we solidify the team. And so you can see we've sort of organized with an executive team. These are the folks on the team. Some amazing people here. It's always, always about the people and I'm just so honored and just so privileged to work with this great team as we will look to form Biodesign and bring it into the future.

So part one, solidify the team. Then I created a board. We have now a board together, and this is a diverse group of people with different backgrounds, but everyone with a perspective that can help us for the future. So this is our board. Very proud of this group and we will continue to grow this board as we think about how do we tackle the problems of the future. But very honored and appreciative of this board. So then we got the team together and we said, okay, what are the challenge? How shall we, who are we as an organization? What do we wanna be? You know, what is our purpose? And our purpose that we aligned on is that we are really all about advancing health outcomes and health equity. Like fundamentally, those are deliverables. That's where we want. We want access for people to get access to our innovations.

We want to improve outcomes. But the way we're gonna do it is we're gonna do it through education. We're gonna teach people how to do it. We're going to help them translate their ideas into businesses that are gonna make an impact. And the new piece here is we're gonna now create a program around policy. Because as we looked back and we talked about what the challenges of our innovators are, and of course I've experienced this myself as an innovator, there's a lot of adverse policies. There's a lot of things that don't make sense. Why can't you get paid for something that really save lives or even lowers the cost of healthcare? Why can't that happen? And why does it take so long? And why does the patent, you know, office or not the patent office per se, but why are these force, why are there forces that are trying to basically weaken patents? And what
But our real goal is to train these policy makers and really make them a great resource to the world. We're training folks to try to engage directly with the research insights that we've gained to also try to help on an as needed basis. So that'll be also, we're also gonna put that in place. And so it's really gonna be research, education and then engagement.

So it's kinda like a PCR test. So it's a molecular diagnostic in your hand and it sold, could be sold in Walgreens. It could be a consumer health product. So all these things all combine and I wouldn't be surprised if there's AI there as well. The point is, you can just be unfocused on a particular technology anymore. We wanna provide the framework for our innovators of the future to have all of these life sciences available to them at their fingertips as they're inventing. And that means creating collaborations with corporations, with VCs, with other leaders on campus and across the world to make sure that we get the framework right, so that our inventors of the future understand all these tools and know how to invent with them. So that's the number one. Number two is, we're gonna refocus our global efforts really to be focused on the mission. We want to make a difference on health equity.

And like we said, health equity, access is important to us. It's a part of the care we wanna deliver. And so that means really thinking about how do we use the Biodesign process to not only improve the healthcare of these areas of the world that need it, and that could even be here in the United States, by the way, that could be, you know, in a, there's a lot of poverty here in the United States that we just sort of overlook. And so how do we address that? How do we address those inequities and try to get, you know, elevate everyone and have them all benefit from the innovations that we're talking about rather than just small percentage. And the great news is we've, over the years, we've had a chance to experiment with this, with a program in India, which has been amazingly successful. And the team there has done an amazing job, all starting with the process. And then as you see in this circle, the innovations that sort of came out and the relationships that came out of that first circle, and then all of the bigger infrastructure, the bigger companies that, and the funding that came in to support this, creating a whole ecosystem from where there was none. And that lifts, it creates jobs, improves the quality of health, and they're actually producing solutions that are benefitting that population. So can we do more of this? Now that we have a framework on model, can we train the trainers and get parts of the world in, you know, help innovation for health techs that actually drive all of these changes and economic wellbeing? So that's the second major new thrust. So we're gonna show some of that in the coming years, but this is a, you know, long term initiative that we're starting and I hope that there will be after several years, several of these things that come out of it.

And lastly, I'm gonna talk about this policy initiative, which is truly as big opportunity as the Biodesign innovation program itself. And what we're really talking about here is training the next generation of policy makers in health technology. And so key to that is we are going to be in just one week, we will be recruiting for the first innovation policy fellowship here at Stanford Biodesign. These folks have an opportunity over the next several months to apply. We're gonna be making decisions in September, October, and picking the first class of fellows. This will be as fundamental as our core fellowship that we have today. And we recuit those in the same sequence. We've actually sent those announcements out as well for anyone interested in being a fellow here. Check out our website on either policy or the innovation. We'd love to have you.

It's a great program. And as you can see, our graduates have really made a difference. These folks are gonna make a difference too. They're gonna go first year with us working on policy research and engaging with policy makers and producing important published results. And then their second year they're gonna spend in DC working in the White House, working as a staffer, as a paid staffer in Congress, or maybe the FDA or maybe CMS. So that's where we wanna help them understand the challenges of developing health technologies and the complex environment in which they are sitting alongside the fellows that are actually going through it for the first time, but being met by the mentors, the startup community, the corporate partners that we have that come in and, you know, the experts in regulatory and reimbursement and the payers and all those that ecosystem that we built to run Biodesign, all these policy folks are gonna get exposed to that. And then they're gonna go and spend time in Washington and then we hope will be taking leadership careers in the government. And with that, we hope that the policies that they will create will actually facilitate innovation. That's the theory. At the same time we're also gonna create a graduate course, which will be available to the business, engineering, and medical schools and any graduate students on campus to be able to learn about policy and all the fundamentals.

So that'll be also, we're also gonna put that in place. And so it's really gonna be research, education and then engagement. We're going to try to engage directly with the research insights that we've gained to also try to help on an as needed basis. But our real goal is to train these policy makers and really make them a great resource to the world. We're training folks...
across the world to do this. One case study, just to give you an example of what this looks like. We had an opportunity, and I started here in August, we quickly identified this Medicare Coverage of Innovative Technologies need. There are, you know, products get approved and they languish for years before they can actually be paid for by insurance. And if you've ever tried to get access to one of these as a patient, you know how difficult that is. So this is a idea that was proposed that could accelerate that payment and actually have the payment begin immediately after approval.

And during that time they could collect whatever other evidence that payers and CMS might need. And it's a really great idea, but unfortunately it was actually canceled. But there's a lot of energy and momentum behind this, 'cause this could really advance innovation and it can actually spur investment. And so we did a study that proved that first of all, it validated that yes, it's about 4 1/2 years of delay before something of a real breakthrough nature can actually get covered, which is way too long, especially for a CMS population. And secondly, we showed that if you could address this and shorten it in a guaranteed way, you'd bring investors in, you'd bring enthusiastic engineers in and that's what we want to solve these big problems. We don't wanna discourage them, we wanna incentivize them. So if we can shorten just addressing this phase and getting things paid for faster, we could actually spur innovation. We could solve some of these big problems, right? So we proposed that. We partnered with Duke-Margolis and also CMS on a webinar that we have that we put together, engagement. And now we're in an active conversation with the stakeholders about this issue.

And we really think we can, through this process, bring some change that'd be beneficial to our innovators. And so in very much a way we're sort of, we've already demoed that we can through these processes, get ourselves into the conversation. And hopefully it's not me, it's the fellows, it's the students, that's who we wanna put in the front to be able to get these experiences and build these relationships. So, as I said, these are our three main new thrusts. Of course the main one in addition to this, is keep the to core, continue to enhance the core good stuff that we are doing and will continue to do. And you know, our real goal is to, you know, support what innovators need for the future. Because our goal is to really train the people, you know, train the folks that are actually gonna go out there and really change the world. So yeah, so that's where we are. That's what I'm doing. It's an honor to have an opportunity to share that vision and story with all of you and I'm, you know, I'm just happy to be here and I'm happy to take your questions if you have any.

Ravi Perfect, thank you, josh. 00:35:51,533 We've got some questions. So I'm just gonna go through the questions based on up votes from the group. The first question is, can you tell us more about the experiment you ran in India and your key learnings from there? - Absolutely. 00:36:05,773 Well, we taught ourselves that we could do it. It was challenging and there were a number of new wrinkles that we encountered that were quite difficult. There, you know, I think that one of the key things is clearly everyone needs to be there. The innovation has to happen there. It has to be with the available resources that are there. And that means also addressing infrastructure and finding the right places from which to base the innovation.

Also funds flow. I think that early on it was very difficult to find the resources. We were able to, you know, route donated support for Biodesign to this. That really wasn't, it was sort of generalized. And so we, it was an experiment at that time. To do it at the scale that I'm, that I wanna do it going forward, we're gonna need direct support 'cause it's a scaling issue and I think we learned that that lesson. But and on the positive side we just made a tremendous difference. I just made the case for the economic impact that we've had, but we've produced inventions that are saving babies' lives, you know, helping them be resuscitated, identifying hearing loss in a very inexpensive way that can be really fast and available at places where people gather with their babies. You know, a lot of maternal stuff actually, you know, ways to splint in me with really just cardboard, and now we're in an active conversation with the stakeholders about this issue.

And during that time they could collect whatever other evidence that payers and CMS might need. And it's a really great idea, but unfortunately it was actually canceled. But there's a lot of energy and momentum behind this, 'cause this could really advance innovation and it can actually spur investment. And so we did a study that proved that first of all, it validated that yes, it's about 4 1/2 years of delay before something of a real breakthrough nature can actually get covered, which is way too long, especially for a CMS population. And secondly, we showed that if you could address this and shorten it in a guaranteed way, you'd bring investors in, you'd bring enthusiastic engineers in and that's what we want to solve these big problems. We don't wanna discourage them, we wanna incentivize them. So if we can shorten just addressing this phase and getting things paid for faster, we could actually spur innovation. We could solve some of these big problems, right? So we proposed that. We partnered with Duke-Margolis and also CMS on a webinar that we have that we put together, engagement. And now we're in an active conversation with the stakeholders about this issue.

And we really think we can, through this process, bring some change that'd be beneficial to our innovators. And so in very much a way we're sort of, we've already demoed that we can through these processes, get ourselves into the conversation. And hopefully it's not me, it's the fellows, it's the students, that's who we wanna put in the front to be able to get these experiences and build these relationships. So, as I said, these are our three main new thrusts. Of course the main one in addition to this, is keep the to core, continue to enhance the core good stuff that we are doing and will continue to do. And you know, our real goal is to, you know, support what innovators need for the future. Because our goal is to really train the people, you know, train the folks that are actually gonna go out there and really change the world. So yeah, so that's where we are. That's what I'm doing. It's an honor to have an opportunity to share that vision and story with all of you and I'm, you know, I'm just happy to be here and I'm happy to take your questions if you have any.

Ravi Perfect, thank you, josh. 00:35:51,533 We've got some questions. So I'm just gonna go through the questions based on up votes from the group. The first question is, can you tell us more about the experiment you ran in India and your key learnings from there? - Absolutely. 00:36:05,773 Well, we taught ourselves that we could do it. It was challenging and there were a number of new wrinkles that we encountered that were quite difficult. There, you know, I think that one of the key things is clearly everyone needs to be there. The innovation has to happen there. It has to be with the available resources that are there. And that means also addressing infrastructure and finding the right places from which to base the innovation.

Also funds flow. I think that early on it was very difficult to find the resources. We were able to, you know, route donated support for Biodesign to this. That really wasn't, it was sort of generalized. And so we, it was an experiment at that time. To do it at the scale that I'm, that I wanna do it going forward, we're gonna need direct support 'cause it's a scaling issue and I think we learned that that lesson. But and on the positive side we just made a tremendous difference. I just made the case for the economic impact that we've had, but we've produced inventions that are saving babies' lives, you know, helping them be resuscitated, identifying hearing loss in a very inexpensive way that can be really fast and available at places where people gather with their babies. You know, a lot of maternal stuff actually, you know, ways to splint in me with really just cardboard, but very inexpensively, very portably. But you know, important, fundamental needs and finding ways to do it in a low cost environment.

So there have been a lot of learnings and I say the proof of concept is there. We have proven it can work and now we're ready to try to scale it and do it here in the United States, in underrepresented, under resourced parts of the world and here in the U.S. as well as overseas. Ravi Terrific, thanks Josh. 00:38:16,790 I wanna sort of go into this issue of ethics. There's a question on how does the ethics of the design factor into the projects you choose to bring to life? - Yeah. 00:38:26,370 - Yeah. 00:38:36,310 as you saw in our values and trying to find the way to bring the greatest good to the greatest number I think is really important. And on those two in particular would believe that you need to have a perspective on all of them, you know. And on those two in particular would believe that you need to have a fair profit. Investors need to get a return, otherwise they're not gonna put the money in. Where's the money gonna come from? We can't get it from just grants, so we need real businesses. So the act of actually creating a business to solve a medical problem is actually completely consistent with ethics because it's sustainable. To create something that is unsustainable is not ethical because it's just going to peter out and a great idea, great solution will not survive. So fundamental to the training that we provide is how do you make this a business? How do you get this to be self-sustaining and return to the investors so that they come back and put more money in for the next innovation, the next one? So that's how we think of it. And I think grappling with that idea and the juxtaposition of profit and then also health outcomes is something that we deal with every day and we believe it's necessary. It's necessary to actually have a sustainable business that investors can get a return on and then they will continue to invest in healthcare. Ravi But can you go deeper into maybe an ethical dilemma 00:40:24,530 that you might have faced where there was a conflict between the two? I understand the idea of aligning
business outcomes with ethical impact, but what happens when the two are at odds? So let's say when the economic return for the investors would lead to funding something that would actually save less lives, but be more profitable.

- Right. 00:40:49,610 the economic impact might have paled compared to the human impact. So can you, is there, is there a dilemma that you faced that you can share and what I'm more interested in is how you navigate the decision of actually coming to a position. - Yeah, I know, it is a common issue. 00:41:12,433 We find a lot of these and I'll give you a great example, pediatrics, very small population. Thank God most children are not very sick. They don't necessarily need a lot of healthcare. You know, they're for the most part pretty well. But there are a few that get very sick. It's a very small market.

And so how do you, how do you inspire innovators to go into that field? You know, when there's not a lot of VCs, there just, isn't a lot of money. There's not actually a lot of money to be made, not a lot of money to be invested, not a lot of money to be made. How do you do that? And I think what you do is you find ways of creating the incentives. And I think we are all incented by our desire to improve people's health and we have a robust pediatric program led by James Wall here, who's a pediatric surgeon. And they have cobbled together funding sources and they come from a variety of places. Some of them are actually VCs and others are, you know, more, let's say, you know, not-for-profit oriented, but they care about kids and they wanna make kids health better. And the innovators that are chasing after this are excited by the idea that they can make a real difference in kids' lives. And so, you know, it happens. You can find the right people who have that. It isn't all about building billion dollar businesses.

These are never gonna be billion dollar businesses, but you match the entrepreneur to the opportunity. And then you try to find the funding sources that are willing to put the money behind it and that's how you get there. And so yes, a struggle, but overcomeable by just finding the right pieces to put together. Ravi And how do you define success then? 00:43:15,110 Is your success based on an economic impact measure or is it more of a humanitarian impact? - Oh, well, as you can see, 00:43:24,030 I'm big into the number of the people whose lives we've touched, right? And we've touched them in all different ways. Some of them are, you know, foundational like a major impact on their quality of life. Others, some of those are just a diagnostic, you know, ruling out the disease, ruling out something, in that numbers, it's a very diverse number. And for me personally, you know, as an innovator myself, I'm often asked about this, like you have been successful, why are you still doing this? And for me, it's about making a difference. I love doing stuff that has never been done before. I love, I love solving a problem that hasn't been solved yet. And I think that inspires, you know, all of us.

And when you do solve it and you, and you meet that person or you get to hear you know, a loved one or family member that's had their life improved because of what you made, that you invented, that you had a role in bringing to the market, it's like, it's better than any other return on investment for me. And so I live for it. (laughs) Ravi I completely understand. 00:44:45,960 That dovetails nicely into another question. So one of the other students was asking globally, what are two to three health challenges where innovators can make the most headway in the next decade? - Hmm, well, as we think globally, 00:45:03,690 we need, we just have to really think about this health equity issue. I mean, we have had a lot of health innovations that have come to the smallest percentage of people on the planet. And there's a lot of people who don't have access and that, you know, the reasons for that are multiple. Some of them are structural and systemic and that issue needs to be addressed. But the innovations themselves, I think weren't, they were created with the idea that all these resources would be there, all this training would be there, you know, all these support, you know, sort of, you know, first world types of environments that really aren't accessible, even in the first world, across the first, all of the first world. That's where the opportunity is.

The opportunity is (indistinct), that's why we're investing ourselves in this mission driven global effort. 'Cause we believe that we've already shown that it can be, that we can come up with innovations that are affordable and that can result in outstanding outcomes if we just pay attention to the details of what are the needs of those environments. And they probably could serve the rest of the world well too. They aren't just for those environments probably also resulting in less cost. So I think the big opportunity for all of us as innovators is to really put our arms around health equity and think about how do we, how do we get access to the millions of people that don't have access to the best healthcare and how do we, how do we make that more affordable and more available? Ravi Terrific, thank you. 00:46:51,490 Next question is, I imagine the Biodesign surge when using the process? - Hmm, interesting. 00:47:04,533 I will say I've had many failures myself. I didn't put them in the beginning slide of deck. They're not that fun to talk about, but I have failed and everybody fails.

I mean, if you, if you're not failing, you're not trying hard enough. So you gotta go. Now, the reasons for failure didn't have anything to do with the process not working. It's just that at some point there are always pieces of the project that are unknown and those unknown things, you know, once you do the study and it's known sometimes it's an answer that you wish wasn't there, but it's data, it's science, right? So, so yes, I think that, that it is not fool proof. The method is only really good to identify the potential pathway. It isn't like you're always a success 'cause that isn't true, even in my own experience with it. But you're gonna increase your probability of success with it. That's the reason to use the rigorous process that we teach is at least you'll eliminate all those easy problems, all those problems that most people make that don't follow it and you get those out of the way and those can be retired early. The through line in terms of success however, is the team. People, like I mentioned before, they are the difference maker.
And so even when the idea doesn't work or you finally get that result and you realize that it doesn't pan out, if the team is good, you can pivot and get to the right answer. So I really believe in teams. You know, picking the right people for these projects is key because I've just been so amazed by the leaders of the companies that, you know, have had great opportunity to create and see how they have pivoted around the problems that they've had. And they come in all sorts of ways. Some of them can have nothing to do with the idea itself, but it's the regulatory process or it's trying to get the thing paid for, or it's trying to raise money in a bad environment or whatever it is. There's so many ways to fail. But if you have a group of people who are mission driven and looking under every rock to try to make sure that they have a chance for success, that that is the through line for success, ultimately, you know. And are there ways that you can predict if a team is going to be distinctive a priori, you said already some mission driven. Any other intuitive insights when people are thinking about assembling teams of things that they should be checking for-- -Yeah, that's a good question. Yeah, I'm sure you deal with this in your work too, Ravi, all the time, trying to figure out who's gonna, who's gonna be able navigate it.

I think, the best leaders are learners and listeners not knowers. They take in as many inputs as they can, and they surround themselves with as many advisors with diverse and different perspectives as they can to get the benefit of all the perspectives. And they don't just boldly know where to go without and sort of fight against advice. That's my experience, at least in this field, anyway. Maybe it works in, you know, in, you know, other fields that you sort of just blaze on and don't pay attention to anybody else and think of an iPod and just make it, even though no one asked for it. That certainly is a good example of one that worked. But I think that in at least in the healthcare field surrounding yourself with great advisors and being humble, being, realizing you don't have all the answers. And I mean, it's a necessity. So when I see that willingness to not always be right and really looking for the right answer from collecting it from all different viewpoints, I always feel like that's a person that's gonna be successful because they can get outside of their own head and really consider what others are thinking and navigate to that, making their own decisions, ultimately, of course, and then confidently defending them of course. You know, the having that still leadership, but also the taking the opportunity to listen before they act.

(upbeat music)