

URL: <https://ecorner.stanford.edu/clips/governing-ai-collaboratively/>

Daniela Amodei, president and co-founder of Anthropic, explains how her company collaborates with outside groups to define responsible AI governance. She explains how Anthropic's policy team considers what insights they need to share with governments and policymakers and seeks out expertise from civil society and nonprofit groups.



Transcript

- More broadly then, with the ethic 00:00:06,120 that you have at Anthropic, how do you see your role in the broader, societal efforts around governing AI and in responsible way? I mean, I was thinking about how, you know, we kind of have one chance to get it right, and we can't let people down.. So how do you see your role, Anthropic's role in helping to guide what AI could do for humanity? There's so much opportunity yet there is risk.. - Absolutely.. 00:00:36,890 So I think, what's interesting about this is, you know, Anthropic is a company, right? We're a public benefit corporation, and we have this very lofty social mission.. - Yes.. 00:00:53,430 or any particular company alone to be the arbiter of what happens with this technology.. And so there's a few different ways that we try and collaborate or work with other groups to say, like, where does this decision live, right? Who is kind of the group or the set of people sort of driving these outcomes? And one way that we do that is we've had a policy team, basically, since day one.. The only other non-technical co-founder of ours is our policy director.. And he has just done an incredible job working with policymakers and government officials to really think about, you know, what are the ways that we want this technology to be regulated? Or what are the rules and guardrails sort of beyond the corporate level where we might want this technology to be looked at, right? Like, what are the sort of insights about it that we need to share to the government and policy makers around the world to help them understand what's happening.. - Yeah..

00:01:57,660 that work on many of the issues that you've talked about.. And that's because those groups often have additional expertise that we don't necessarily have within our walls, right? There are groups that think specifically about, you know, particular elements of how this technology will be used or abused, where we can lean on their expertise...